Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

March 19, 2019 Page 1 of 14

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF
2		GABY SMITH
3		ON BEHALF OF
4		THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
5		DOCKET NO. 2018-319-E
6		IN RE: APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
7		FOR ADJUSTMENTS IN ELECTRIC RATE SCHEDULES AND TARIFFS AND
8		REQUEST FOR AN ACCOUNTING ORDER
9		
10	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.
11	A.	My name is Gaby Smith. My business address is 1401 Main Street, Suite 900,
12		Columbia, South Carolina, 29201. I am employed by the State of South Carolina as an
13		Audit Manager, in the Audit Department of the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff
14		("ORS").
15	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME GABY SMITH WHO PRESENTED DIRECT AND
16		SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONIES IN THIS DOCKET?
17	A.	Yes. I pre-filed direct testimony and eight (8) exhibits with the Public Service
18		Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") on February 26, 2019. I also filed
19		supplemental direct testimony and eight (8) exhibits on March 6, 2019.
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
21	A.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to certain issues raised in the
22		rebuttal testimonies of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC. ("DEC" or "Company") witnesses Kim

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Page 2 of 14

Surreduttai	resumony	of Gaby	Smit
March 19, 2	2019		

1

20

21

22

23

Smith, Renee Metzler and Lesley Quick. My surrebuttal will address the Company's rebuttal

- 2 testimonies in regards to:
- 3 ORS Adjustment #21 to Annualize Operation and Maintenance ("O&M") Non-Labor Cost,
- 4 ORS Adjustment #22 to Normalize O&M Labor Expenses,
- 5 ORS Adjustment #25 to Amortize Rate Case Costs.
- 6 ORS Adjustment #28 to Adjust for Credit Card Fees.
- 7 ORS Adjustment #29 to Adjust O&M for Executive Compensation.
- 8 ORS Adjustment #30 to Adjust for Customer Connect Additional Expense and Deferral,
- 9 ORS Adjustment #36 to Remove Certain Expenses,
- 10 ORS Adjustment #37 Customer Growth Adjustment, and
- 11 ORS Adjustment #38 to Adjust Revenue, Taxes and Customer Growth for the Proposed Increase. 12
- My surrebuttal testimony also updates ORS adjustment amounts for ORS 13 14 Adjustment #30 to Adjust for Customer Connect Additional Expense and Deferral and 15 Adjustment #32 to Synchronize Interest Expense with End of Period Rate Base.
- 16 PLEASE DESCRIBE ORS'S RESPONSE TO THE COMPANY'S REBUTTAL Q. 17 TESTIMONIES IN REGARD TO CERTAIN ADJUSTMENTS.
- 18 ORS's responses to the adjustments are as follows: A.
- 19 Adjustment #21 - Annualize O&M non-labor expenses

Company witness Smith states in her rebuttal testimony that annualizing the impacts of inflation to an end of test period level is based on actual known and measurable inflation metrics. Per S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-823(A)(3), the Commission requires rate applications to be based on a historic 12-month test period. Traditionally, the Commission allows

Page 3 of 14

March 19, 2019

adjustments to the Test Year to reflect known and measurable changes in the Company's operating experience. Adjustments for "inflation" are not known and measurable. Consistent with Commission Order Nos. 84-108 and 85-841 in which the Commission expressly rejected adjustments for inflation, ORS recommends the Commission reject recovery of these costs, which are based on projections and estimates, as it shifts the risks from the Company to the customers.

Adjustment #22 – Normalize O&M Labor Expenses

Based on the rebuttal testimony of Company witness Smith, the Company agrees with ORS's adjustment to update the salary allocator for DEC for wages and salaries and related employee benefit costs to the same date as the O&M labor expense, July 1, 2018.

The Company does not agree with ORS's recommendation to remove 50% of the Company's long and short term incentive ("LTI" and "STI") program costs for the reasons discussed by Company witness Metzler. Company witness Metzler's rebuttal testimony primarily addresses the Company's position in regards to overall compensation philosophy, the compensation programs provided by DEC, why a competitive compensation package is important, and how eliminating any portion of incentive compensation would decrease employees' total compensation to less than competitive levels.

Company witness Metzler's rebuttal testimony discusses earnings per share ("EPS") and also mentions Total Shareholder Return ("TSR"). A general definition of TSR is the total return of a stock to an investor, or the capital gain plus dividends. Both EPS and TSR are metrics that involve the performance of a Company's stock. Company witness Metzler's rebuttal testimony includes Table 1: Summary 2017 STI Plan. This table indicates the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 4 of 14

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Executive Leadership Team's ("ELT") STI payouts are based on 50% EPS and all remaining Non-ELT employees' STI payouts are based on 30% EPS.

Company witness Metzler's rebuttal testimony fails to include the components of the Company's LTI plan where LTI awards are based 50% on EPS and 25% on TSR, for a total 75% of the LTI payouts directly tied to the Company's stock performance. ORS determined that an average adjustment of 50% to total LTI and STI payouts was reasonable and appropriate in this docket. An adjustment of 50% to total LTI and STI plan payouts equitably shares the costs between customers and shareholders. If employees are largely driven by stock performance rather than the service to customers, a balanced approach to equitably share those costs is important. ORS's recommendation does not require DEC to reduce the total compensation package provided to their employees, only that the costs of the LTI and STI plans be shared equally between customers and shareholders.

An increase in the Company's EPS and TSR, due to an increase in the Company's rates through a rate case, with no actual improved Company efficiency or operating performance, substantially influences the LTI and STI payouts made to employees. This removes the incentive for employees to achieve earnings goals through performance, customer satisfaction, efficiencies, and cost reduction measures. Shareholders are the primary benefactors of increased EPS and TSR, of which these incentives are directly based. It also shifts the risks from the Company to the customer if the Company is allowed recovery of 100% of its LTI and STI payouts.

In addition, the Commission has the authority to regulate the Company's management practices and decisions in regard to prudency but does not regulate the Company's management of EPS or TSR. Allowing regulated utilities the recovery of 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

March 19, 2019

employee incentives based on stock performance, EPS or TSR, would limit the Commission's ability to determine the prudency of those incentives. The Company's request to allow cost recovery of employee incentives based on stock performance, EPS or TSR, would also be a vast departure from this Commission's decisions on this issue. In Order No. 2012-951, the Commission found that incentive compensation is an accepted and necessary component of a utility company's compensation package and that there are sound reasons for offering incentive compensation as part of a competitively reasonable compensation package. In that order, the Commission also found that recovery of 50% of this incentive compensation expense is just and reasonable.

ORS's adjustment to remove 50% of the LTI and STI program incentive costs is reasonable as it is an equitable sharing of the costs between the customers and the Company. Adjustment #25 - Amortize Rate Case Costs

In rebuttal testimony, Company witness Smith opposes ORS's recommendations to exclude a return on the deferred rate case expenses during the deferral period and exclude rate case expenses from rate base. ORS reaffirms its original position to exclude a return on rate case expenses and exclude rate case expenses from rate base as the expenses are related to O&M and are not capital in nature. The Company does not currently have a Commission approved accounting order authorizing a deferral.

In addition, Company witness Smith opposes ORS's recommendation to disallow certain rate case expenses due to the Company's lack of sufficient documentation to support the costs. ORS attempted on several occasions to obtain sufficient documentation from the Company. On December 12, 2018, ORS sent Audit Request #16 to the Company asking the Company to provide a summary listing of rate case expenses, copies of all invoices and proof

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Page 6 of 14

March 19, 2019

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of payment. See Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9, page 1. On December 27, 2018, the Company responded to Audit Request #16 by providing a summary excel file, legal invoices excel file, an internal labor excel file, and various invoices for some of the legal expenses. Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9, page 2 shows an example of the excel information provided for amounts billed to DEC without a supporting invoice. January 3, 2019, ORS issued ORS Audit Request #36 to request copies of legal invoices for the legal expenses that were not supported by the Company, See Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9, page 3. On January 9, 2019, the Company responded. See Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9, page 4. On January 14, 2019, ORS issued ORS Audit Request #48 to request the Company provide additional detailed information to include billing rates, billed time, and a description of services. This audit request has been attached as Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9, page 5. On January 17, 2019, the Company submitted an excel workbook including a column with a general description for the legal expenses, and timesheets which lacked any reference to the specific legal action, service performed, or person billed which are shown on Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9, pages 6 and 7.

On February 22, 2019, Company witness Smith contacted ORS to discuss the level of detail that was needed to provide sufficient support. The Company provided a small sample that contained some additional information, which was characterized as the best the Company could provide. ORS clarified that proper documentation for cost recovery would include itemized work performed, hourly rates, and a detailed accounting of the time spent for the work performed. This information should be provided to the Company from the vendor to support the expenses the Company is requesting to include as rate case expenses.

Page 7 of 14

March 19, 2019

ORS cannot verify the summary information created by the Company is accurate. Complete information is important to ensure that customers pay for only expenses related to the rate case. ORS recommends the Commission adopt ORS's adjustment to rate case expenses and reject any rate case expenses not properly supported by the Company.

Adjustment #28 - Adjust for Credit Card Fees

Company witness Quick, in her rebuttal testimony, opposes ORS's recommendation to exclude the Company's growth projections in the calculation of credit card fee expenses. ORS's position for rejecting inflation-based estimates applies to the ORS recommendation the Commission reject the 20% growth projections included by the Company in the calculation of credit card fees. Per S.C. Code Ann. Regs.103-823(A)(3), the Commission requires rate applications to be based on a historic 12-month test period. Traditionally, the Commission allows adjustments to the Test Year to reflect known and measurable changes in the Company's operating experience. Adjustments for growth projections are not known and measurable. Consistent with Commission Order Nos. 84-108 and 85-841 in which the Commission expressly rejected adjustments for inflation, ORS recommends the Commission reject recovery of these costs, which are based on projections and estimates, as it shifts the risks from the Company to the customers.

Company witness Quick states that the Company experienced an average historic year over year growth rate of 9% from 2014 to 2017. In addition, to support her findings, she states that the Company reviewed other utilities around the country implementing fee free credit card usage and found that the projected increase in credit card transactions cited by these utilities ranged from 5% to 30%. This further supports ORS's position as to the uncertainty of the Company's proposed growth projection.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 March 19 4:00 PM - SCPSC - Docket # 2018-319-E - Page 8 of 26

March 19, 2019

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

On page eight of Company witness Quick's rebuttal testimony, she proposes the Commission approve a deferral to include the incremental credit card fees that ORS does not recommend be included in this adjustment. ORS recommends the Commission reject Company witness Quick's request to establish a deferral for the credit card fee expenses should the Commission not include the growth projections as the credit card fees are not extraordinary in circumstance or magnitude. See ORS witness Payne's surrebuttal testimony for discussion of deferrals.

Adjustment #29 - Adjust O&M for Executive Compensation

ORS recommends the Commission adopt the ORS adjustment to O&M for executive compensation. The Company's adjustment eliminates 50% of the compensation (base pay, LTI and STI) of the four DEC executives with the highest level of compensation. ORS does not remove 50% of the LTI and STI payments for these four executives in its adjustment since 50% of those payments were already removed in Adjustment #22 by ORS. ORS reaffirms its calculation of this adjustment.

Adjustment #30 - Adjust for Customer Connect Additional Expenses and Deferral

Upon review of Company witnesses Smith's and Hunsicker's rebuttal testimony, ORS proposes an additional adjustment to O&M expenses for the Customer Connect Project to reflect the actual incurred level of expenses in 2018 of \$3,189,000. This results in an adjustment to O&M of \$2,549,000 as \$640,000 of costs associated with Customer Connect were included in the test year expenses. Inclusion of this adjustment results in an additional adjustment to income taxes of (\$636,000) for a total adjustment to income taxes of (\$901,000) associated with Customer Connect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

March 19, 2019 ORS recommends the Commission reject Company witness Hunsicker's forecasted average O&M expense of \$4.7 million. This amount includes forecasted costs of \$1.8 million

for inflation and contingency. Per S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-823(A)(3), the Commission requires rate applications to be based on a historic 12-month test period. Traditionally, the Commission allows adjustments to the Test Year to reflect known and measurable changes in the Company's operating experience. Adjustments for inflation and contingencies are not known and measurable. Consistent with Commission Order Nos. 84-108 and 85-841 in which the Commission expressly rejected adjustments for inflation, ORS recommends the Commission reject recovery of these costs, which are based on projections and estimates, as it shifts the risks from the Company to the customers. Due to ORS's additional adjustment, ORS recommends cancellation of the accounting order (Order No. 2018-552) for deferred expenses related to Customer Connect on the date of the order issued by the Commission in this docket.

Adjustment #36 – Remove Certain Expenses

ORS recommended an adjustment to other O&M expense by (\$2,399,000) and income taxes by \$599,000 to disallow sponsorships, lobbying expenses, service awards, advertising and other miscellaneous items. These items are non-allowable and not necessary to provide electric service to customers. Subsequent to filing the application, the Company proposed an adjustment to other O&M expense of (\$227,000) and income taxes of \$57,000 to remove lobbying costs and image building advertising.

Based on the rebuttal testimonies of Company witnesses Smith and Metzler, the Company disagrees with ORS removing items associated with employee incentives, any costs to recognize and reward Company employees, lineman's rodeo costs, organization

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 10 of 14

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

dues, costs that are not 100% related to South Carolina ("SC"), timing differences and litigation expenses as part of its non-allowable adjustment.

ORS performed its non-allowable review of the Company's accounting records over a three (3) month period, concluded its initial review, and sent its list of non-allowable transactions to the Company on January 28, 2019. ORS requested the Company provide additional supporting documentation and detailed reasoning for why expenses should be allowed and included for recovery from customers. On February 11, 2019, ORS contacted the Company to inquire if the Company's response was forthcoming related to the transactions deemed non-allowable by ORS. On that same day, ORS received a response from the Company which indicated the Company reviewed the ORS spreadsheet containing the list of non-allowables. The Company's response identified some transactions the Company intended to remove in the updated supplemental filing which included a new pro forma adjustment called "Remove Certain Expenses." The Company's response also identified instances where ORS duplicated removal of the same transaction and instances where ORS recommended removing North Carolina ("NC") specific costs, like Department of Motor Vehicle ("DMV") costs, which were allocated between NC and SC. The Company indicated ORS's adjustment to non-allowables did not identify the comparable SC specific costs that were also allocated between NC and SC.

The Company indicated in its response all remaining transactions identified by ORS in the spreadsheet were reasonable expenses attributed to: prudent utility operations; community engagement; and maintenance of an engaged workforce. ORS requested the Company identify all transactions that were removed more than once, and on February 18, 2019, ORS received the Company's response. ORS modified its non-allowable adjustment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 11 of 14

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

to remove duplicate items. The Company provided no additional supporting documentation for specific non-allowable transactions.

Company witness Metzler, in her rebuttal testimony, specifically addresses ORS's non-allowable adjustment in regard to spot bonuses (exceptional contribution awards), lump sum merit payments, service awards, safety awards and lineman's rodeo. recommended an adjustment to remove amounts associated with spot bonuses, service awards and safety awards consistent with Commission Order Nos. 91-595 and 94-1229. In these Commission Orders, the Commission removed sales incentive awards, safety and length of service awards, and other miscellaneous gifts and awards. ORS removed costs associated with the lineman's rodeo as costs associated with competitions between linemen from various utilities are not necessary to provide quality electric utility service to customers. ORS's treatment of rodeo related expenses in this docket is consistent with ORS's treatment of rodeo related expenses in other SC gas and electric utility rate cases and Rate Stabilization Act filings. The Company is incorrect in its statement that ORS's non-allowable adjustment removed amounts associated with lump sum merit payments made by the Company.

Company witness Smith, in her rebuttal testimony, specifically addresses organization dues, costs that are not 100% related to SC, timing differences due to accrual accounting, and litigation expenses. Company witness Smith specifically identifies the Company's request to recover from its customers membership dues for local Chambers of Commerce, Visit Greenville SC and the Spartanburg Development Association. ORS removed 50% of the dues associated with Chambers of Commerce as part of its nonallowable adjustment consistent with Commission Order Nos. 94-1229, 01-887, and 02-285 where the Commission found that one-half of dues paid to state and local Chambers of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 12 of 14

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Commerce should be included in cost of service. In regards to the transactions associated with Visit Greenville SC and the Spartanburg Development Association, ORS removed 100% of amounts associated with transactions associated with Visit Greenville SC and the Spartanburg Development Association, based on the Company's designation of them as being related to "Memberships in Social and Athletic Clubs (including but not limited to Chamber of Commerce, Rotary, Lions, etc.)." Removal of costs for transactions associated with memberships in social and athletic clubs is consistent with Commission Order Nos. 91-595 and 94-1229.

The Company asserts that ORS included in its adjustment for non-allowables transactions related to costs that are not 100% related to SC and timing differences for accrual ORS provided the Company ample opportunity to identify and provide additional supporting documentation for any transactions for which they did not agree with ORS's treatment as non-allowable. The Company failed to specifically identify transactions included in ORS's non-allowable adjustment related to these items during the non-allowable review process. ORS first became aware of the Company's treatment of DMV registration fees for transmission vehicles while reading Company witness Smith's rebuttal testimony. The same is also true for transactions included in ORS's non-allowable adjustment related to expenses incurred and accrued in a previous period but not paid until the test year. Had the Company properly supported these transactions with the explanations in Company witness Smith's testimony when ORS originally asked for support, ORS would have had the opportunity to closely review and verify these transactions further, determined the appropriate treatment, and modified its non-allowable adjustment appropriately. As such,

Page 13 of 14

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

1		ORS has not verified Company's witness Smith's claims and ORS recommends the
2		Commission reject the Company's position.
3		ORS's removal of litigation expenses as part of its non-allowable adjustment is
4		discussed in detail by ORS witness Hamm's surrebuttal testimony.
5		Adjustments #33 - Adjust for 1/8 O&M for Accounting and Pro Forma Adjustments,
6		Adjustment #37 - Customer Growth, and Adjustment #38 - Adjust Revenue, Taxes and
7		Customer Growth for the Proposed Increase
8		ORS and the Company agree on the methodology used in calculating these
9		adjustments. ORS and Company amounts differ due to the underlying adjustments of ORS
10		and the Company and the recommended return on equity.
11	Q.	DID ORS UPDATE ANY OTHER ADJUSTMENTS AS PART OF SURREBUTTAL
12		TESTIMONY?
13	A.	Yes. ORS updated ORS Adjustment #32 to Synchronize Interest Expense with End
14		of Period Rate Base due to an increase in the weighted average cost of debt rate to 4.53%
15		from 4.44% as accepted by ORS witness Parcell in his surrebuttal testimony.
16	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO YOUR
17		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY.
18	A.	I have attached the following exhibits to my surrebuttal testimony to reflect the
19		update to ORS Adjustment #30 for the Customer Connect Project, the increase in the
20		weighted average cost of debt rate to 4.53% from 4.44%, and the resulting changes to fall
21		out adjustments:
22		• Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-1: Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rate of
23		Return Reflecting ORS's Proposed Increase

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

March 19, 2019 Page 14 of 14

1 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-2: Explanation of Accounting and Pro Forma 2 Adjustments - Retail 3 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-3: Electric Plant in Service at Original Cost 4 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-4: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization – 5 Electric Plant in Service 6 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-5: Materials and Supplies 7 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-6: Working Capital Investment 8 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-7: Weighted Cost of Capital 9 Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-8: Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rate of 10 Return Reflecting the Company's Proposed Increase 11 Confidential Surrebuttal Audit Exhibit GS-9: Company responses to ORS Audit 12 Request #16, #36, and #48 13 WILL YOU UPDATE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY BASED ON Q. 14 INFORMATION THAT BECOMES AVAILABLE? Yes. ORS fully reserves the right to revise its recommendations via supplemental 15 A. 16 surrebuttal testimony should new information not previously provided by the Company, or 17 other sources, become available 18 DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY? Q. 19 Yes, it does. A.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rate of Return Reflecting ORS' Proposed Increase
Total and Retail Electric
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E

	TOT	TOTAL ELECTRIC - NC & SC	(000's Omitted)		SOUTH CAROLINA RETAIL		
		(1)	(2)	(3) ORS	(4) After ORS	(5)	(9)
		Total Company	SC Retail	Accounting & Pro Forma	Accounting & Pro Forma	ORS Proposed	After Proposed
No. Description 1 Operating Revenues	\$	rer Books 7,315,231	Fer Books 1,733,770 \$	Adjustments (71,633) (A) \$	Adjustments 1,662,137 \$	Increase 82,357 (R) \$	1,744,494
2 Operating Expenses:	¥	1 773 800	338 575 @	23.771 (B) &	3 372 236	9	372 698
	9		85,559				85,559
		1,920,225	469,026		414,817	0	414,817
6 Depreciation & Amortization		1,134,170	251,518	71,516 (E) 8 271 (E)	323,034	0	323,034
Interest		8,500	1,023		1,023		1,023
		618,934	149,043		63,823	20,457 (T)	84,280
10 Amort. of investment tax Credit 11 Total Operating Expenses	s	5,776,431	\$ 1,378,749 \$	(35,862) \$	1,342,887	20,822 \$	1,363,709
12 Operating Income		1,538,800	355,021	(35,771)	319,250	61,535	380,785
13 Customer Growth		0	0	2,876 (I)	2,876	554 (U)	3,430
14 Net Operating Income for Return	S	1,538,800	\$ 355,021 \$	(32,895)	322,126 \$	62,089 \$	384,215
Rate Ba Gross Pl	\$		\$ 9,087,105 \$		9,724,151 \$	\$	9,724,151
17 Accum. Depr. & Amort.		(15,2/4,6/6)	(3,6/5,901)	(40,121) (K)	(3,716,022)		(3,716,022)
		1,010,030	233,810	3,190 (L)	0,006,129	0 0	6,006,129 237,000
		(1,697,174)	(401,737)	_	(321,571)	0	(321,571)
21 Plant Held for Future Use22 Nuclear Fuel		14,835	3,9/4	9,863 (N)	13,837	0 0	13,837
Accumu		(3,428,625)	(877,452)	(13,199) (0)	(890,651)	0	(890,651)
24 Operating Reserves		(343,589)	(82,616)	(P)	(82,616)	0	(82,616)
25 Customer Deposits26 Construction Work In Progress		0 156.599	0 36.872	312.295 (0)	0 349.167	0 0	349.167
27 Total Rate Base	\$	19,021,340	\$ 4,454,360 \$		5,443,600 \$		5,443,600
28 Rate of Return		8.09%	7.97%	"	5.92%	II	7.06%
29 Return on Common Equity			10.93%	"	7.15%	II	9.30%

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Explanation of Accounting and Pro Forma Adjustments - Retail
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E

							(000's	(000's Omitted)										
		(1)	Fig. (2)	(3) Purchased	<u>4</u>	(S)	(9)	6	®	6)	(10)	(11) Accum.	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
		Electric	Used in	Power and	Other	Deprec.			Amort.		Electric	Deprec.	sle		Land Held			
Adj.	Description	Operating Revenue	Electric Gen	Net Interchoe	O&M Exnense	and	General Taxes	Income Taxes	of	Cust.	Plant in	and Amort	and	Working Canital F	for I Future Use	Deferred Taxes	Oper.	CWIP
		€	€	€	\$	€	∽	\$			€	90	€		€			50
-	Annualize Retail revenues for current rates																	
	Per ORS Per Company	4,461 (46,477)					20 (206)	1,108 (11,545)										
4	Update fuel costs to approved rate and other fuel related adjustments Per ORS Per Company	3,186	23,771 (27,433)					(5,136) 7,639						(35,976)		1,997		
e	Adjust Other Revenue Per ORS Per Company	(2,735)			(4)		8)	(679)										
4	Adjust the amount of CWIP included in rate base Per ORS Per Company																(7 (7	312,295 315,850
w	Eliminate unbilled revenues Per ORS Per Company	(63,683)					(174)	(15,845) (15,845)										
9	Adjust for costs recovered through nonfuel riders Per ORS Per Company	(12,862) (12,862)			(52,053) (52,053)			9,778										
٢	Amortize deferred cost balance related to Carolinas West Control Center Per ORS					168		(42)						3,501		(874)		
∞	Annualize depreciation on year end plant balances Per ORS Per Company					9,059		(2,260)	6 6			(9,059)						
•	Annualize property taxes on year end plant balances Per ORS Per Company						3,538 3,538	(883)										
10	Adjust for new depreciation rates Per ORS Per Company					13,304		(3,319)				(10,412)		5,784		(1,443)		

Explanation of Accounting and Pro Forma Adjustments - Retail
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E

		į			:	ļ	(000°s	(000's Omitted)	į				:		:		:	
		(1)	(2) Fuel	(3) Purchased		(S)	(9)	6	®	6	(10)	(11) Accum.	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
Ądi		Electric Oneratino	Used in Electric	Power and	Other O&M	Deprec.	General	Income	Amort.	Cust	Electric Plant in	Deprec.	Materials and	Working	Land Held for	Accum. Deferred	Oner	
No.	J. b. Description	Revenue	Gen.	Interchge.	Expense	Amort.	Taxes	Taxes		Growth	Service	Amort.	Supplies	Capital	Future Use	Taxes		CWIP
		€	\$	∽	≪	€		\$		∳	∽	\$	€	€		\$		∽
11																		
	plant in service																	
	Per ORS					16,304	3,443	(4,927)			492,169	(16,304)						
	Per Company					17,583	3,219	(5,190)			477,583	(17,595)						
12	Reflect 2017 Lee Combined Cycle																	
	addition to plant in service																	
	Per ORS				723	4,346	817	(1,469)			144,877	(4,346)	828			(17,837)		
	Fer Company				1.23	3,947	810	(1,36/)			143,531	(3,947)	878			(13,032)		
13																		
	to Lee Combined Cycle					(5						- - - -		(1)		
	Per Oks Por Company					203		(140)						14,473		(3,611)		
	Fer Company					1,154		(1,/85)						14,309		(0/5,5)		
14	Adjust for Lee Nuclear amortization																	
	Per ORS					10,383		(2,591)						0	9,863	0		
	Per Company					10,399		(2,594)						114,386	6,863	(28,539)		
4																		
C																		
	COSIS Per ORS					C		O						C		C		
	Per Company					6,975		(1,740)						6,975		(1,740)		
16																		
	Per OKS												2,362					
	rer Company												7,302					
17																		
	and liabilities				(112)	(320 3)		1 500										
	Fer Oris Per Company				(113)	(6,256) $(6,256)$		1,589										
18						0		į į						000				
	Per OKS Per Company					19,226		(4,797)						193 522		(18,770)		
	rea company					10,01		(17,0,1)						77.00		(10,101)		
19																		
	to SC AMI																	
	Per ORS					2,175		(543)						19,210		(4,793)		
	Per Company					12,626		(3,150)						25,252		(6,300)		
20																		
	Per ORS Per Company				966			(241)										
	rei company				1,401			(010)										

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Explanation of Accounting and Pro Forma Adjustments - Retail
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E
(000's Omitted)

		Θ	(2)	9	.	©	(9)	(000's Omitted)	©	9	(16)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(41)	(15)	(16)	(17)
•		Electric	Fuel Used in	Purchased Power and	Other	Deprec.			Amort.		Electric	Accum. Deprec.	Materials		ple	Accum.		
Adj. No.	Description	Operating Revenue	Electric Gen.	Net Interchge.	O&M Expense	and Amort.	General Taxes	Income Taxes	of ITC	Cust. Growth	Plant in Service	and Amort.	and Supplies	Working Capital	for Future Use	Deferred Taxes	Oper. Resv. (CWIP
		∽	∽	9 - 	\$\$	∽	≪	\$	⊗	∳	€	≪	€		€	\$		\$
21	Annualize O&M non-labor expenses Per ORS Per Company				2,420			0 (604)										
22	Normalize O&M labor expenses Per ORS Per Company				(7,083) 10,502		643 729	1,607 (2,802)										
23	Update benefits costs Per ORS Per Company				(1,816) (48)			453										
24	Levelize nuclear refueling outage costs																	
	Per ORS Per Company				(1,160) (1,160)			289										
25	Amortize rate case costs Per ORS Per Company				268			(67) (192)						(728) 2,949		182 (736)		
26	Adjust aviation expenses deferred costs Per ORS				(773)		(8)	195 195										
27	OPEN Per ORS Per Company																	
78	Adjust for credit card fees Per ORS Per Company				2,517			(628)										
29	Adjust O&M for executive compensation Per ORS Per Company				(134)			33 237										
30	Adjust for Customer Connect Project Per ORS Per Company				2,549	1,063		(901) (1,452)						3,592		0 (968)		
31	Adjust vegetation management expenses Per ORS Per Company				4,878 5,650			(1,217) (1,410)										

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Explanation of Accounting and Pro Forma Adjustments - Retail
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E

						000's C	٦ <u>-</u>										
	(1)	(2) Fuel	(3) Purchased		(5)	9)	<u>(</u>	®	6)	(10)	(11) Accum.	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
	Electric	Used in	Power and	Other	Deprec.			Amort.		Electric	Deprec.	Materials		Land Held			
Adj. No Description	Operating Revenue	Electric	Net Interchae	O&M Fynense	and Amort	General Taves	Income	JLI	Cust.	Plant in Service	and Amort	and Supplies	Working	for Future Use	Deferred Taxas	Oper. Resy	CWIP
	\$	⊕	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$	S	\$	S		\$			S
32 Synchronize interest expense with end of period rate base Adjustment Per ORS Per Company							(2,937) (4,526)										
33 Adjust 1/8 O&M for accounting and pro forma adjustments Per ORS Per Company													(3,805)				
34 Adjust for tax rate changePer ORSPer Company							(52,097) (52,097)								32,568 32,568		
35 Adjust deferred cost balance related to SC Grid Per ORS Per Company					1,181 3,356		(295) (837)						2,475		(618)		
36 Remove Certain ExpensesPer ORSPer Company				(2,974)			742										
37 Customer Growth Adjustment Per ORS Per Company									2,876								
Total Adjustments Per ORS Total Adjustments Per Company	(71,633)	23,771 (27,433)	0	(54,209)	71,516	8,271	(85,220)	6	2,876	637,046	(40,121)	3,190	80,166 330,960	9,863	(13,199)	0 0	312,295 315,850
Proposed Increase	(A)	(B)	(C)	<u>ê</u>	(E)	(F)	(Đ)	(H)	$\boldsymbol{\Xi}$	Ē	(K)	Ē	(M)	$\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$	©	(P)	©
Adjust Revenue, Taxes, and Customer Growth Per ORS Per Company	82,357			0		365	20,457		554								
Total Adjustments Per ORS	82,357	0	0	0	0	365	20,457	0	554	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Total Adjustments Per Company	230,807	0	0	0	0	1,023	57,331	0	1,553	0	0	0	0		0	0	0

 (Ω)

(T)

 $\widehat{\mathbf{s}}$

3

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Electric Plant in Service at Original Cost Test Year Ended December 31, 2017 Docket No. 2018-319-E (000's Omitted)

	Total Electric	_		So	uth Carolina Reta	il	
Description	 Total Company Per Books		Per Books		Accounting Adjustments		As Adjusted
Production	\$ 20,770,049	\$	4,961,737	\$	419,695	\$	5,381,432
Transmission	3,874,751		738,077		42,143		780,220
Distribution	11,345,730		2,901,033		132,760		3,033,793
General	1,122,460		269,162		31,739		300,901
Intangible Plant	943,491		217,096	_	10,709		227,805
Subtotal	\$ 38,056,481	\$	9,087,105	\$	637,046	\$	9,724,151
Nuclear Fuel	527,459		130,305		0	_	130,305
Total Electric Plant in Service	\$ 38,583,940	\$_	9,217,410	\$	637,046	\$	9,854,456

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization - Electric Plant in Service Test Year Ended December 31, 2017 Docket No. 2018-319-E (000's Omitted)

	_	Total Electric	_		Sou	th Carolina Reta	ail	
	_	Total Company	_			Accounting		_
Description		Per Books	_	Per Books		Adjustments		As Adjusted
Production	\$	(8,267,617)	\$	(1,993,693)	\$	(32,112)	\$	(2,025,805)
Transmission		(1,403,966)		(268,419)		(1,075)		(269,494)
Distribution		(4,657,540)		(1,191,005)		(7,004)		(1,198,009)
General		(401,403)		(95,522)		2,211		(93,311)
Intangible Plant	_	(544,150)	_	(127,262)		(2,141)	-	(129,403)
Subtotal	\$	(15,274,676)	\$	(3,675,901)	\$	(40,121)	\$	(3,716,022)
Nuclear Fuel	_	0	_	0	-	0	-	0
Total	\$_	(15,274,676)	\$_	(3,675,901)	\$	(40,121)	\$	(3,716,022)

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Materials and Supplies Test Year Ended December 31, 2017 Docket No. 2018-319-E (000's Omitted)

	<u>T</u>	otal Electric	_		South	Carolina Reta	ail	
Description		otal Company Per Books	_	Per Books		ccounting ljustments		As Adjusted
Fuel Stock:								
Coal	\$	193,823	\$	47,882	\$	1,997	\$	49,879
Oil		35,478	_	8,765		365	_	9,130
Total Fuel Stock	\$	229,301	\$	56,647	\$	2,362	\$	59,009
Other Electric Materials and Supplies and Stores Clearing		780,728	_	177,163		828	_	177,991
Total Materials and Supplies	\$	1,010,030	\$	233,810	\$	3,190	\$_	237,000

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Working Capital Investment Test Year Ended December 31, 2017 Docket No. 2018-319-E (000's Omitted)

		Total Electric	_		Sou	th Carolina Reta	il	
Description	T	otal Company Per Books	_	Per Books		Accounting Adjustments		As Adjusted
12 Mths O&M (Excl PP & Nuclear Fuel)	\$	3,085,907	\$	731,480	\$	(30,438)	\$	701,042
1/8 of O&M Expenses		385,738		91,435		(3,805)		87,630
Less: Average Taxes Accrued		(193,735)	_	(79,853)	_	0	_	(79,853)
Subtotal: Investor Funds for Operations	\$	192,003	\$_	11,582	\$_	(3,805)	\$_	7,777
Required Bank Balance		300		70		0		70
Unamortized Debt Expense		113,935		26,437		0		26,437
Customer Deposits		(120,758)		(29,541)		0		(29,541)
Prepayments		15,298		3,634		0		3,634
Miscellaneous Deferred Debits and Credits		(1,897,952)	_	(413,919)	_	83,971	_	(329,948)
Subtotal: Other Investor Funds	\$	(1,889,177)	\$_	(413,319)	\$_	83,971	\$_	(329,348)
Total Working Capital Investment	\$	(1,697,174)	\$_	(401,737)	\$_	80,166	\$_	(321,571)

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Weighted Cost of Capital
Retail Electric Operations
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E
(000's Omitted)

	Income For	Return	115,900	268,315	384,215
d Increase	Overall	Cost/Return	2.13% \$	4.93%	7.06% \$
After Proposed Increase	Embedded	Cost/Return C	4.53%	9.30%	
	Rate	Base	2,558,492	2,885,108	5,443,600
	Income For	Return	115,900 \$	206,226	322,126 \$
ısted	Overall	Cost/Return	2.13% \$	3.79%	5.92% \$
As Adjus		Cost/Return	4.53%	7.15%	II
	Rate	Base	2,558,492	2,885,108	5,443,600
	Income For	Return	96,931 \$	258,090	355,021 \$
Books	Overall	Cost/Return	2.18% \$	5.79%	\$ %26.7
Retail Per Books	Embedded	Cost/Return	4.63%	10.93%	I
	Rate	Base	2,093,549	2,360,811	4,454,360
	Pro Forma	Ratio	47.00% \$	53.00%	100.00% \$
	Calculated Pro Forma	Ratio Ratio	44.06%	55.94%	100.00%
	Capital (Structure	8,949,744	11,361,076	20,310,820 100.00% 100.00%
			sht \$	ity	∞
		Description	Long-Term Debt	Members' Equity	Totals

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Operating Experience, Rate Base and Rate of Return Reflecting The Company's Proposed Increase
Total and Retail Electric
For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2017
Docket No. 2018-319-E

	TOTAL	TOTAL ELECTRIC - NC & SC	(000's Omitted)	SOUTH	SOUTH CAROLINA RETAIL		
		(1)	(2)	(3) ORS	(4) After ORS	(5)	(9)
Line No Description		Total Company Por Books	SC Retail Par Rooks	Accounting & Pro Forma	Accounting & Pro Forma	Company Proposed Increase	After Proposed
	S ■	7,315,231		(71,633) (A) \$	1,662,137 \$	230,807 (R) \$	1,892,944
2 Operating Expenses:							
	8	1,473,809			362,346 \$	\$ 0	362,346
4 Purch. Pwr. & Net Interch. (Non-Fuel)		348,770	85,559		85,559	0 0	85,559
S Other O&M Expenses 6 Depreciation & Amortization		1,920,223	469,026 251 518	(34,209) (D) 71,516 (F)	414,817	0 0	414,81/ 323 034
		277,321	85,270		93,541	1,023 (S)	94,564
		8,500	1,023		1,023		1,023
9 Income Taxes 10 Amort of Investment Tax Credit		618,934	149,043	(85,220) (G)	63,823	57,331 (T)	121,154
	 	5,776,431	1,3′		1,342,887 \$	58,354 \$	1,401,241
12 Operating Income		1,538,800	355,021	(35,771)	319,250	172,453	491,703
13 Customer Growth		0	0	2,876 (I)	2,876	1,553 (U)	4,429
14 Net Operating Income for Return	\$	1,538,800	355,021 \$	(32,895) \$	322,126 \$	174,006 \$	496,132
15 Rate Base:16 Gross Plant in Service	S	38,056,481 \$		637,046 (J) \$	9,724,151 \$	9	9,724,151
·		(15,274,676)	(3,675,901)	(40,121) (K)	(3,716,022)	0	(3,716,022)
18 Net Plant in Service19 Materials & Sumulies		22,781,805	5,411,204	596,925 3.190 (T.)	6,008,129	0 0	6,008,129
, ,		(1,697,174)	(401.737)	_	(321.571)	0 0	(321.571)
,		14,835	3,974		13,837	0	13,837
		527,459	130,305		130,305	0	130,305
		(3,428,625)	(877,452)		(890,651)	0 0	(890,651)
24 Operating Reserves 25 Customer Denosits		(343,389)	(82,016)	(L)	(82,616)	0 0	(82,616)
	€	156,599 19,021,340 \$	36,87	312,295 (Q) 989,240 \$	349,167 5,443,600 \$	9 0	349,167
		11					, , , ,
28 Rate of Return		%60'8	7.97%	"	5.92%	I	9.11%
29 Return on Common Equity			10.93%	"	7.15%	1	13.18%

TO
TESTIMONY OF
GABY SMITH

CONFIDENTIAL

FILED UNDER SEAL
WITH THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION